

## Lecture 5: Putinar's Positivstellensatz (Theobald §6.7)

### 1 Setup

**Definition 1.** A subset  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$  is called a quadratic module if:

1.  $1 \in M$ ;
2.  $M + M \subseteq M$ ;
3.  $a^2 M \subseteq M$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ .

The set of all sums of squares in  $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$  is denoted by  $\sum \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^2 = \{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_m^2 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]\}$

Given  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ , define

$$\text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m) = \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \cdots + \sigma_m g_m \mid \sigma_i \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^2 \right\}.$$

It is the smallest quadratic module containing  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ .

Let  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We say that  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  satisfy *Putinar's property* if every polynomial that is strictly positive on  $S(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  belongs to  $\text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ .

A quadratic module  $M$  is said to be *Archimedean* if

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{such that} \quad N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \in M.$$

**Remark 1.** If  $\text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is Archimedean, then  $S(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is compact. On the other hand, if  $S(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is compact, then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$S(g_1, \dots, g_m) \subseteq S\left(N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right).$$

Consequently,

$$S(g_1, \dots, g_m) = S\left(g_1, \dots, g_m, N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right).$$

**Example 1.** Consider

$$g = (1 - x^2)^3, \quad f = 1 - x^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

**Claim:**  $\text{QM}(g)$  is Archimedean. Indeed,

$$2 - x^2 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \left( x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x \right)^2 + \frac{4}{3}(1 - x^2)^3.$$

Thus,

$$2 - x^2 = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g,$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \left( x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x \right)^2, \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Hence  $2 - x^2 \in \text{QM}(g)$ , so  $\text{QM}(g)$  is Archimedean. Moreover,

$$S(g) = [-1, 1].$$

The polynomial

$$f = 1 - x^2$$

is nonnegative on  $S(g)$ , but  $f \notin \text{QM}(g)$ . Suppose, for contradiction, that  $f \in \text{QM}(g)$ .

Then

$$1 - x^2 = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g,$$

where  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  are sums of squares. Since  $\sigma_0$  is a sum of squares, write

$$\sigma_0 = a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2.$$

Evaluating at  $x = 1$  gives

$$\sigma_0(1) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$a_i(1) = 0$$

for every  $i$ . Hence each  $a_i(x)$  is divisible by  $x - 1$ , so

$$a_i(x)^2 = b_i(x)^2 (x - 1)^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\sigma_0 = (x - 1)^2 (b_1^2 + \cdots + b_k^2),$$

and therefore  $\sigma_0$  vanishes to order at least 2 at  $x = 1$ . Also, since

$$g = (1 - x^2)^3,$$

the term  $\sigma_1 g$  vanishes to order at least 3 at  $x = 1$ . Thus, the right-hand side

$$\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g$$

vanishes to order at least 2 at  $x = 1$ . However,

$$1 - x^2 = (1 - x)(1 + x)$$

vanishes to order exactly 1 at  $x = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$f = 1 - x^2 \notin \text{QM}(g).$$

## 2 Putinar's Theorem

**Theorem 1.** *If  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$  are such that  $\text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is Archimedean then  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  have Putinar's property.*

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 6.43). *If  $S(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is compact and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  have Putinar's property, then, for any  $g_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , the polynomials*

$$g_1, \dots, g_m, g_{m+1}$$

*have Putinar's property.*

**Example 2** (Example 6.45). *For any  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the polynomial*

$$N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

*has Putinar's property.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is Archimedean. Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \in \text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m).$$

By (6.4.5), the polynomial

$$N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

has Putinar's property. Hence, by (6.4.3),

$$g_1, \dots, g_m, N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

have Putinar's property. Moreover, since

$$N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \in \text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m),$$

adding this polynomial as an additional generator does not change the quadratic module. Therefore,

$$\text{QM}\left(g_1, \dots, g_m, N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) = \text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m).$$

Also,

$$S(g_1, \dots, g_m) = S\left(g_1, \dots, g_m, N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right).$$

Thus, if  $f > 0$  on  $S(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ , then

$$f \in \text{QM}\left(g_1, \dots, g_m, N - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) = \text{QM}(g_1, \dots, g_m).$$

Hence  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  have Putinar's property. □